

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/526,037	03/15/2000	Kent Allan Franklin	KCC-2044	9629
35844	7590 09/23/2004		EXAMINER	
PAULEY PETERSEN & ERICKSON 2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD			DEXTER, CLARK F	
	ESTATES, IL 60195		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/526,037	FRANKLIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Clark F. Dexter	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	22 June 2004.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up	,	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the applie 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-7,9,17-22 and 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,8 and 10-14 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15,16,23 and 24 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	<u>d 27-39</u> is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ape e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	eceived.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

1. The response filed on June 22, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 15, 16 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smithe et al., pn 5,480,085, in view of Shirasu, pn 4,635,511.

Smithe discloses a method with almost every step of the claimed invention including feeding a continuous web of material from a feed roll (e.g., 18) to a cut-off module (e.g., 22); measuring tension in the web (e.g., at 102); using the cut-off module

Art Unit: 3724

to cut a piece of the material from the continuous web; and adjusting the feed roll speed to adjust the length. Smithe lacks the steps of measuring an actual length of the piece of material and comparing the actual length to the target length. However, the Examiner takes Official notice that such steps are old and well known in the art. As one example, when synchronizing a machine for quality control purposes; that is, the actual length, at some point in time, must be measured, either by hand or automatically, to ensure that the actual length corresponds to the target length. If it did not, the feed rate of the feed rolls would be adjusted as taught by Smithe (e.g., see column 7, lines 62-64). Further, Shirasu provides one example of a web cutting device that includes the steps of measuring an actual length of the workpiece and comparing the actual length to the target length. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the steps of measuring an actual length of the piece of material and comparing the actual length to the target length on the apparatus of Smithe for the well known benefits including those described above.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1, 8 and 10-14 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15, 16 and 23-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Remarks

7. Applicant is invited to contact the Examiner to further discuss any outstanding issues and/or to expedite prosecution.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark F. Dexter whose telephone number is (703)308-1404. The examiner can be reached Monday through Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on (703)308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd September 20, 2004